

INITED STATE OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/837,301 04/11/97 STEVEN Α 08830/007001 **EXAMINER** HM22/1101 FISH & RICHARDSON COOK, L 4225 EXECUTIVE SQUARE **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 1400** LA JOLLA CA 92037 1641

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

11/01/99

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/837,301

Applic

Alasdair C. Steven

Examiner

Lisa V. Cook

Group Art Unit 1641



🗴 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jun 29, 1999</u>	
☐ This action is FINAL.	•
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to responsible application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	nd within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claim	
	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
X Claims <u>1-56</u>	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	ew, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the pr	iority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
received in this national stage application from the Intern	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Certified copies not received:	25.110.00.0.440()
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority unde	35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	19
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	The state of the s
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE I	FOLLOWING PAGES

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-21, drawn to a method of displaying a polypeptide on a surface lattice protein, classified in class 436, subclass 89.
 - II. Claims 22-36, drawn to a method of displaying a polypeptide on the surface of a virion, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
 - III. Claims 37-42, drawn to a method of immunizing a mammal with an antigenic composition, classified in class 424, subclass 184.1.
 - IV. Claims 43-47, drawn to a therapeutic composition, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
 - V. Claims 51-55, drawn to a chimeric polypeptide that binds to a surface lattice protein and a protein of interest, classified in class 435, subclass 235.1 and in class 536, subclass 24.1.
 - VI. Claims 48-50 and 56, drawn to an encoding nucleic acid molecule, classified in class 536, subclass 25.3.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different

٠.

Application/Control Number: 08/837,301

Art Unit: 1641

functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case each of the method Groups are patentably distinct because Group I is drawn to polypeptide display via

Page 3

protein binding while, Group II is drawn to polypeptide display via binding of a virion and

nucleic acid sequence encoding the polypeptide of interest. The method of Group III is further

distinct because it is drawn to mammalian immunization. These methods all utilize different

reagents, have different method steps, and detect different events.

3. Inventions V and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptide of Group V can be utilized as a reagent in inhibition assays.

4. Inventions VI and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be

shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the

product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product

as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP

§ 806.05(h)). In the instant case the nucleic acid of Group VI may be used for processes other

than the display of the polypeptide, such as nucleic acid hybridization assays or detection of an

organism.

Application/Control Number: 08/837,301 Page 4

Art Unit: 1641

5. Inventions IV and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). The antigenic polypeptide composition is not only useful in immunization but could be employed to produce specific antibodies or direct expression of a polypeptide in a mammalian host.

- 6. Inventions IV, V and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the each the products; the therapeutic composition of Group IV, the polypeptide of Group V, and the phage/nucleic acid of Group VI are biologically, chemically, and structurally different and therefore are patentably distinct.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, recognized divergent subject matter and because the search required for each invention is not substantially coextensive with the search required for the remaining invention, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Please note that the classifications in the restriction are illustrative only and do **not** represent all the classes and subclasses which must be searched for each invention; nor is the

Application/Control Number: 08/837,301

Art Unit: 1641

search limited to issued US patents, but rather includes published foreign patents and applications as well as literature search, which in this case would be divergent for each of the

claimed inventions.

8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

9. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct,

applicant is invited to submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either

instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the

evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I)

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 08/837,301

Art Unit: 1641

11. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile

transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal

Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official

Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Group 1641 Fax number is (703) 308-4242

which is able to receive transmissions 24 hours/day, 7 days/week.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Lisa V. Cook whose telephone number is (703) 305-0808. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, James Housel, can be reached on (703) 308-4027.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Art Unit 1641

CM1-7D16

October 26, 1999

Page 6